







Diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging as an early predictive marker of chemoradiotherapy response in squamous cell carcinoma of the anus: an individual patient data meta-analysis

Bettina A. Hanekamp^{1,2}, Pradeep S. Virdee³, Vicky Goh⁴, Michael Jones⁵, Marianne G. Guren^{6,2}, Rebecca Muirhead⁷

¹ Department of Radiology, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway, ² Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of Oslo, Norway, ³ Centre for Statistics in Medicine, NDORMS, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK, ⁴ Cancer Imaging, School of Biomedical Engineering & Imaging Sciences, King's College London, London, UK, ⁵University of Newcastle, Callaghan, Australia, ⁶ Department of Oncology, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway, ⁷ Oxford University Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, Oxford, UK

INTRODUCTION

Patients with squamous cell carcinoma of the anus (SCCA) are at risk of locoregional recurrence after chemoradiotherapy (CRT). An early prognostic marker of treatment response would enable timely individualisation of treatment for patients with SCCA. Diffusionweighted (DW) magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) features, such as apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC), may be prognostic.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

We included 3 prospective trials, run between 2013 to 2017, in patients receiving radical CRT for SCCA who had paired DW-MRI at baseline and during week 2 of treatment (1-3). Individual patient and treatment data, tumour and involved nodes >2 cm volumes, and ADC parameters (ADCmax, ADCmean, ADCmin, skewness, kurtosis, and standard deviation) were combined into one dataset (one-stage meta-analysis). The association between ADC parameters with relapse was analyzed using logistic regression. Deriving the area under the curve (AUC), each parameters ability to predict relapse was assessed. We investigated the effect of a change <20% in ADCmean between the two scans.

AIMS

Using an individual patient data meta-analysis, we aimed to investigate in a larger cohort whether ADC based histogram parameters from paired DW-MRI at baseline and during CRT correlate with relapse in patients with SCCA.

RESULTS											
	Table 1: Patient and	Table 1: Patient and tumour characteristics					Figure 1: Waterfall plot percentage change in ADCmean for local relapse				
		UK ART (n=23)	Norway (n=39)	Australia (n=20)	Combined (n=82)		140	Relapsed			
	Age (years)	53.2	59.0	58.5	59.0		120				
	Male Female	8.7% 91.3%	25.6% 74.4%	15.0% 85.0%	18.3% 81.7%		80 ଟ				
From 82 patients, 90 targets	T stage T1	_	2.6%	5.0%	2.4%						
(primary tumours and lymph nodes >2cm) were analysed in the meta-analysis. Among all patients, 13.4%	T2 T3	60.9% 13.0%	51.3% 15.4%	50.0% 25.0%	53.7% 17.1%		.i. 40 96 20				
	T4 N stage	26.1%	30.8%	20.0%	26.8%		% char				
	N0 N1-N3	47.8% 52.2%	38.5% 61,5%	30.0% 70.0%	39.0% 61.0%		-20 -40				
(n=11) relapsed locally and 19.5% (n=16) had any relapse.	M stage M0 M1	100% -	97.4% 2.6%	100% -	98.8% 1.2%		-60				
Patients with a change in	GTV Volume (cm3) Median	TV Volume (cm3) Median 13.6 1		no data 14.1			-80	90 reg	90 regions of interest among 82 patients		
ADCmean <20% between scans	Table 3: Local relaps	Table 3: Local relapse									
had a higher local relapse rate (18.0%) than patients with	ADC narameter	Baseline				Week 2 of CRT			Percentage change		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Abe parameter	OR (95% CI)		AUC (95% CI)		OR (95% CI)		AUC (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	AUC (95% CI)	
(9.3%).	Mean	1.00 (0.99	, 1.00)	0.603 (0.44)	0, 0.765)	1.00 (0.99,	, 1.00)	0.702 (0.553, 0.851)	0.99 (0.96, 1.01)	0.591 (0.402, 0.779)	
	SD	1.00 (0.99	, 1.01)	0.562 (0.38	6, 0.737)	1.00 (0.99,	, 1.01)	0.570 (0.412, 0.728)	1.00 (0.97, 1.02)	0.574 (0.413, 0.735)	
No ADC based bistogram	Min	1.00 (1.00	, 1.00)	0.604 (0.444	4, 0.763)	1.00 (1.00,	, 1.00)	0.690 (0.493, 0.887)	1.00 (1.00, 1.00)	0.532 (0.264, 0.799)	
normator at baseling during	Max	1.00 (1.00	, 1.00)	0.612 (0.41	7, 0.807)	1.00 (1.00,	, 1.00)	0.629 (0.442, 0.815)	0.99 (0.95, 1.03)	0.571 (0.390, 0.751)	
parameter at baseline, during	Skewness	0.42 (0.16	. 1.09)	0.679 (0.51)	2. 0.846)	0.63 (0.25	1.61)	0.592 (0.404, 0.781)	1.00 (1.00, 1.00)	0.589 (0.412, 0.766)	
treatment week two or as	Kurtosis	0 77 (0 48	1 24)	0 579 (0 41	7 0 740)	1 08 (0 74	1 56)	0 564 (0 404 0 725)	1 00 (1 00 1 01)	0 677 (0 507 0 847)	
percentage change between scans was associated with local or any relapse (p>0.05 for all).	Table 4: Any relapse	Table 4: Any relapse 0.77 (0.40, 1.24) 0.575 (0.417, 0.740) 1.06 (0.74, 1.50) 0.504 (0.404, 0.725) 1.00 (1.00, 1.01) 0.677 (0.507, 0.847)									
	ADC parameter	ameter				Week 2 of CRT			Percentage change		
		OR (95% C	CI)	AUC (95% C	CI)	OR (95% C	I)	AUC (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	AUC (95% CI)	
	Mean	1.00 (0.99)	, 1.00)	0.563 (0.42	0, 0.705)	1.00 (1.00,	1.00)	0.655 (0.527, 0.784)	1.00 (0.98, 1.02)	0.495 (0.333, 0.656)	
	SD	1.00 (1.00)	, 1.01)	0.527 (0.35	6, 0.699)	1.00 (1.00,	1.01)	0.524 (0.351, 0.698)	1.00 (0.98, 1.01)	0.511 (0.347, 0.675)	
	Min	1.00 (1.00	, 1.00)	0.590 (0.43	1, 0.750)	1.00 (1.00,	1.00)	0.595 (0.450, 0.740)	1.00 (1.00, 1.00)	0.549 (0.370, 0.728)	
	Max	1.00 (1.00)	, 1.00)	0.554 (0.40	8, 0.699)	1.00 (1.00,	1.00)	0.526 (0.356, 0.697)	1.02 (0.98, 1.06)	0.601 (0.459, 0.744)	
	Skewness	0.49 (0.22	, 1.08)	0.652 (0.50	8, 0.796)	0.80 (0.37,	1.73)	0.550 (0.394, 0.705)	1.00 (1.00, 1.00)	0.562 (0.418, 0.705)	
	Kurtosis	0.74 (0.50	, 1.10)	0.613 (0.46	0, 0.765)	1.01 (0.73.	1.40)	0.541 (0.394, 0.689)	1.00 (1.00, 1.01)	0.546 (0.398, 0.694)	
	CONCLUSION		,		. ,		,	C C	ONTACT		

No definite parameters from paired DW-MRI at baseline or during week two of CRT were identified as useful biomarker in SCCA in this meta-analysis, however there was a trend towards higher local relapse rate in those with a change in ADCmean <20%. Further research should investigate different MRI sequences and parameters, different imaging modalities and the combination of multiple radiological and translational biomarkers to optimize individualized treatment.

B. A. Hanekamp: bethan@ous-hf.no

R. Muirhead: rebecca.muirhead@oncology.ox.ac.uk

REFERENCES

Muirhead R, Bulte D, Cooke R, Chu KY, Durrant L, Goh V, et al. A Prospective Study of Diffusion-weighted Magnetic Resonance Imaging as an Early Prognostic Biomarker in Chemoradiotherapy in Squamous Cell Carcinomas of the Anus. Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol). 2020;32(12):874-83.

Slørdahl KS, Klotz D, Olsen J-Å, Skovlund E, Undseth C, Abildgaard HL, et al. Treatment outcomes and prognostic factors after chemoradiotherapy for anal cancer. Acta Oncol. 2021;60(7):921-30.

Jones M, Hruby G, Coolens C, Driscoll B, Stanwell P, Kumar M, et al. A prospective, multi-centre trial of multi-parametric MRI as a biomarker in anal carcinoma. Radiother Oncol. 2020;144:7-12 3.